

Measure Theory Summary

Ánoq of the Sun, Hardcore Processing *

June 30, 2004

1 σ -Algebras, Borel Sets, Dynkin Classes

Premises: X *set*

- \mathcal{A} is an **algebra** (on X) $\stackrel{def}{=}$
 $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{P}(X)$ where:
 1. $X \in \mathcal{A}$ (or alternatively: $\emptyset \in \mathcal{A}$ or just $\mathcal{A} \neq \emptyset$)
 2. $(A \in \mathcal{A}) \Rightarrow (\complement A \equiv X \setminus A \in \mathcal{A})$
 3. $(A_1, \dots, A_\nu \in \mathcal{A}) \Rightarrow (\bigcap_{\nu \in \{1, \dots, \nu\}} A_\nu \in \mathcal{A})$ (i.e. *finite intersections*)

Said differently: A *non-empty family* which is *closed* under *complements* and *finite intersections*. Further more: (def. 1.1 p. 1 \in [1])

- It follows that also *finite unions*, *differences* and \emptyset are in \mathcal{A} .
- 3. Could be also replaced by *finite unions* in the definition.

- \mathcal{A} is a **σ -algebra** (on X) $\stackrel{def}{=}$
 $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{P}(X)$ where:
 1. $X \in \mathcal{A}$ (or alternatively: $\emptyset \in \mathcal{A}$ or just $\mathcal{A} \neq \emptyset$)
 2. $(A \in \mathcal{A}) \Rightarrow (\complement A \equiv X \setminus A \in \mathcal{A})$
 3. $(\forall \nu \in \mathbb{N} : A_\nu \in \mathcal{A}) \Rightarrow (\bigcap_{\nu \in \mathbb{N}} A_\nu \in \mathcal{A})$ (i.e. *countable intersections*)

Said differently: A *non-empty family* which is *closed* under *complements* and *countable intersections*. Further more: (def. 1.3 p. 1-2 \in [1])

- It follows that also *countable unions*, *differences* and \emptyset are in \mathcal{A} .
- 3. Could be also replaced by *countable unions* in the definition.

- Any σ -algebra is also an *algebra*. (rem. 1.4 p. 2 \in [1])
- $\{X, \emptyset\}$ is the *smallest σ -algebra* on X .
- $\mathcal{P}(X)$ is the *largest σ -algebra* on X .

*© 2002 Ánoq of the Sun (alias Johnny Andersen)

- If \mathcal{A} is an algebra on X , then
 \mathcal{A} is also a σ -algebra if one of the following holds: (thm. 1.6 p. 3 ∈ [1])
 1. For all increasing sequences $\{A_n\}$ in \mathcal{A} : $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n \in \mathcal{A}$
 2. For all decreasing sequences $\{A_n\}$ in \mathcal{A} : $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n \in \mathcal{A}$
 3. For all sequences $\{A_n\}$ of pairwise disjoint elems. in \mathcal{A} : $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n \in \mathcal{A}$
- For a set X and a family \mathcal{F} of subsets of X :
 - There exists a smallest σ -algebra \mathcal{A} where $\mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{A}$. (thm. 1.7 p. 3-4 ∈ [1])
 - **The σ -algebra $\sigma(\mathcal{F})$ produced by \mathcal{F}** $\stackrel{def}{=}$ the smallest σ -algebra containing \mathcal{F} . (thm. 1.7 p. 3-4 ∈ [1])
- Let (X, ρ) be a metric space and \mathcal{T} the family of open subsets of X , then:
 1. A $G \subset X$ is called a G_δ -set $\stackrel{def}{=}$ There exists a sequence $\{G_n\}$ of open subsets of X such that $G = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} G_n$
 2. An $F \subset X$ is called an F_σ -set $\stackrel{def}{=}$ There exists a sequence $\{F_n\}$ of closed subsets of X such that $F = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} F_n$
 3. A $B \subset X$ is called a **Borel set** $\stackrel{def}{=} B \in \sigma(\mathcal{T})$.
 4. **The σ -algebra of Borel sets $\mathcal{B}(X)$ on X** $\stackrel{def}{=} \sigma(\mathcal{T})$
 5. Clearly $\mathcal{B}(X)$ contains the G_δ and the F_σ sets.
 6. Every closed set F in X is G_δ . (rem. 1.9 p. 4 ∈ [1])
 7. Every open set G in X is F_σ . (rem. 1.9 p. 4 ∈ [1])
 8. A set is $G_\delta \Leftrightarrow$ it's complement is F_σ . (p. 4 ∈ [1])
- A family \mathcal{D} of subsets of X is a **Dynkin class** on X $\stackrel{def}{=}$
 1. $X \in \mathcal{D}$
 2. $(A, B \in \mathcal{D} \wedge A \subset B) \Rightarrow B \setminus A \in \mathcal{D}$
 3. $\{A_n\}$ increasing sequence in $\mathcal{D} \Rightarrow \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n \in \mathcal{D}$(def. 1.12 p. 5 ∈ [1])
- The **Dynkin class $\delta(\Delta)$ produced by the family Δ of subsets of X** $\stackrel{def}{=}$ the smallest Dynkin class containing Δ . (p. 5 ∈ [1])
- Every σ -algebra is a Dynkin class (i.e. $\forall \Delta : \sigma(\Delta) \subset \delta(\Delta)$). (p. 5 ∈ [1])
- For family Δ of subsets of X :
If Δ closed under finite intersections then $\delta(\Delta) = \sigma(\Delta)$. (thm. 1.13 p. 6 ∈ [1])
- For the family \mathcal{F} of closed subsets of \mathbb{R}^k , where $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and for:
$$\Delta_1 = \{ \prod_{i=1}^k] - \infty, \beta_i] \mid \forall i \in \{1, \dots, k\} : \beta_i \in \mathbb{R} \}$$

$$\Delta_2 = \{ \prod_{i=1}^k] \alpha_i, \beta_i] \mid \forall i \in \{1, \dots, k\} : \alpha_i, \beta_i \in \mathbb{R}, \alpha_i < \beta_i \}$$

$$\Delta_3 = \{ \prod_{i=1}^k] \alpha_i, \beta_i [\mid \forall i \in \{1, \dots, k\} : \alpha_i, \beta_i \in \mathbb{R}, \alpha_i < \beta_i \}$$
we have that: $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^k) = \sigma(\mathcal{F}) = \sigma(\Delta_1) = \sigma(\Delta_2) = \sigma(\Delta_3)$. (thm. 1.11 p. 5 ∈ [1])
and: $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}) = \delta(\mathcal{F}) = \delta(\Delta_1) = \delta(\Delta_2) = \delta(\Delta_3)$. (rem. 1.14 p. 6 ∈ [1])

2 Measures

Premises: X set

- For σ -algebra \mathcal{A} on X : The set function $\mu : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ is a **(countably additive / σ -additive) measure** $\stackrel{def}{=} \langle \text{def. 2.1 p. 10} \in [1] \rangle$
 1. $\mu(\emptyset) = 0$
 2. If $\{A_n\}$ is a sequence of pairwise disjoint elements of \mathcal{A} then $\mu(\cup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu(A_n)$. (countable additivity / σ -additivity)
- For algebra \mathcal{A} on X : The set function $\mu : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ is a **finitely additive measure** $\stackrel{def}{=} \langle \text{p. 10} \in [1] \rangle$
 1. $\mu(\emptyset) = 0$
 2. If $\{A_i\}$ is a finite sequence of pairwise disjoint elements of \mathcal{A} then $\mu(\cup_{i=1}^n A_i) = \sum_{i=1}^n \mu(A_i)$. (finite additivity)
- **Measurable space** $\stackrel{def}{=} (X, \mathcal{A})$ where \mathcal{A} is a σ -algebra on X . $\langle \text{p. 10} \in [1] \rangle$
- **Measure space** $\stackrel{def}{=} (X, \mathcal{A}, \mu)$ where (X, \mathcal{A}) is a measurable space with measure μ . $\langle \text{p. 10} \in [1] \rangle$
- Any measure in a measurable space is also a finitely additive measure. $\langle \text{p. 10} \in [1] \rangle$
- Examples of measures:
 - **Arithmetic measure** $\stackrel{def}{=} \langle \text{ex. 2.2 p. 11} \in [1] \rangle$

$$\mu(A) = \begin{cases} n & , \text{ if } A \text{ has } n \text{ elements} \\ \infty & , \text{ if } A \text{ is an infinite set} \end{cases} .$$
 - **Dirac measure** δ_x at the point $x \stackrel{def}{=} \langle \text{ex. 2.2 p. 11} \in [1] \rangle$

$$\delta_x(A) = \begin{cases} 1 & , \text{ if } x \in A \\ 0 & , \text{ if } x \notin A \end{cases} .$$
 - If μ, ν measures and $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}, \alpha \geq 0$, then $\mu + \nu$ and $\alpha \cdot \mu$ are also measures. $\langle \text{p. 11} \in [1] \rangle$

- For *measure space* (X, \mathcal{A}, μ) :
 - If $A, B \in \mathcal{A}$ and $A \subset B$, then
 $\mu(A) \leq \mu(B)$. (i.e. μ is a *monotonous set function*) (thm. 2.3 p. 11 ∈ [1])
 - If $A, B \in \mathcal{A}$ and $A \subset B$ and $\mu(A) < \infty$, then
 $\mu(B \setminus A) = \mu(B) - \mu(A)$. (thm. 2.3 p. 11 ∈ [1])
 - If $\{A_n\}$ is a *sequence of elements* of \mathcal{A} , then
 $\mu(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n) \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu(A_n)$.
 (i.e. μ is a *countably subadditive set function*) (thm. 2.4 p. 11 ∈ [1])
 - If $\{A_n\}$ *increasing sequence* in \mathcal{A} then
 $\mu(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu(A_n) = \sup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu(A_n)$. (thm. 2.5 (i) p. 12 ∈ [1])
 - For $\{A_n\}$ *decreasing sequence* in \mathcal{A} and $\mu(A_1) < \infty$ then
 $\mu(\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu(A_n) = \inf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu(A_n)$. (thm. 2.5 (ii) p. 12 ∈ [1])
Warning: Does *not* hold if $\mu(A_1) = \infty$. E.g.: In $(\mathbb{N}, \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{N}), \mu)$ where
 μ is the *arithmetic measure* and $A_n = \{n, n + 1, \dots\}$.
- For *measurable space* (X, \mathcal{A}) and μ *finitely additive measure* in (X, \mathcal{A}) .
 μ is a *measure* if *one of the following* holds: (thm. 2.6 p. 13 ∈ [1])
 1. For every *increasing sequence* $\{A_n\}$ in \mathcal{A} it holds that:
 $\mu(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu(A_n)$.
 2. For every *decreasing sequence* $\{A_n\}$ in \mathcal{A} with $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n = \emptyset$ it holds:
 $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu(A_n) = 0$.
- For *measure space* (X, \mathcal{A}, μ) : (def. 2.7 p. 13 ∈ [1])
 - μ is **limited** $\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \mu(X) < \infty$.
 (X, \mathcal{A}, μ) is called a *limited measure space*.
 - μ is a **probability measure** $\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \mu(X) = 1$.
 (X, \mathcal{A}, μ) is called a *probability measure space*.
 - μ is **σ -limited** $\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \text{there exists a sequence } \{A_n\} \text{ in } \mathcal{A} \text{ such that}$
 $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n = X$ and $\forall n : \mu(A_n) < \infty$.
 (X, \mathcal{A}, μ) is called a *σ -limited measure space*.
- For *measurable space* (X, \mathcal{A}) and a *family* Δ of *subsets* of X which is *closed under finite intersections* and where $\sigma(\Delta) = \mathcal{A}$, and
 μ, ν are *measures* in (X, \mathcal{A}) such that $\forall D \in \Delta : \mu(D) = \nu(D)$.
 If *one of the following* holds then $\mu = \nu$: (thm. 2.8 p. 14 ∈ [1])
 1. $\mu(X) = \nu(X) < \infty$. (i.e. μ, ν are *limited*)
 2. There *exists* an *increasing sequence* $\{D_n\}$ in Δ such that
 $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} D_n = X$ and $\forall D_n : \mu(D_n) = \nu(D_n) < \infty$. (i.e. μ, ν *σ -limited*)

2.1 μ -Zero Sets, μ -Measurable Sets, Completions

Premises: X *set*, (X, \mathcal{A}, μ) *measure space*.

- $N \subset X$ is μ -zero $\stackrel{def}{=} \langle \text{def. 2.9 p. 15} \in [1] \rangle$
there *exists* $A \in \mathcal{A}$ such that $\mu(A) = 0$ and $N \subset A$.
- (X, \mathcal{A}, μ) is a *complete measure space* and μ a *complete measure* $\stackrel{def}{=} \langle \text{def. 2.9 p. 15} \in [1] \rangle$
every μ -zero set N belongs to \mathcal{A} . (and thus $\mu(N) = 0$)
- The *completion* / μ -*measurable sets* \mathcal{A}_μ of \mathcal{A} w.r.t. $\mu \stackrel{def}{=} \langle \text{def. 2.9 p. 15} \in [1] \rangle$
the *family* \mathcal{A}_μ of all $A \subset X$ such that:
 $\exists E, F \in \mathcal{A} : E \subset A \subset F \wedge \mu(F \setminus E) = 0$.
- The *completion* $\bar{\mu} : \mathcal{A}_\mu \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ of $\mu \stackrel{def}{=} \langle \text{def. 2.9 p. 15} \in [1] \rangle$
 $\bar{\mu}(A) = \mu(E)$ where $E \in \mathcal{A}$ is such that:
 $\exists F \in \mathcal{A} : E \subset A \subset F \wedge \mu(F \setminus E) = 0$.
Note: $\mu(E) = \sup\{\mu(B) \mid B \in \mathcal{A}, B \subset E\}$.
- $(X, \mathcal{A}_\mu, \bar{\mu})$ is the *completion* of (X, \mathcal{A}, μ) . $\langle \text{def. 2.9 p. 15} \in [1] \rangle$
- \mathcal{A}_μ is a σ -*algebra* and $\mathcal{A}_\mu \supset \mathcal{A}$. $\langle \text{thm. 2.10 p. 15} \in [1] \rangle$
- $\bar{\mu}$ is a *complete measure* and $\bar{\mu}|_{\mathcal{A}} = \mu$. $\langle \text{thm. 2.10 p. 15} \in [1] \rangle$
- $\bar{\mu}$ is the *unique measure* such that $\bar{\mu}|_{\mathcal{A}} = \mu$. $\langle \text{thm. 2.10 p. 15} \in [1] \rangle$
- μ is *complete* $\Leftrightarrow \mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}_\mu$. $\langle \text{thm. 2.10 p. 15} \in [1] \rangle$

3 Exterior Measures

Premises: X is a *set*.

- A set function $\phi : \mathcal{P}(X) \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ is an *exterior measure on X* $\stackrel{def}{=}$
 1. $\phi(\emptyset) = 0$.
 2. If $A \subset B \subset X$, then $\phi(A) \leq \phi(B)$. (monotony)
 3. If $\{A_n\}$ is a *sequence of subsets* of X then
 $\phi(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n) \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \phi(A_n)$. (countable subadditivity / σ -subadditivity)

(def. 3.1 p. 19 \in [1])

- The *exterior Lebesgue measure* $\lambda^* : \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ on \mathbb{R} $\stackrel{def}{=}$ $\lambda^*(A) = \inf\{\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (\beta_n - \alpha_n) \mid A \subset \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} [\alpha_n, \beta_n], \alpha_m, \beta_m \in \mathbb{R}, \alpha_m < \beta_m, \forall m \in \mathbb{N}\}$.

(def. 3.3 p. 19 \in [1])

- λ^* is an *exterior measure* and:
 $\forall \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}, \alpha < \beta : \lambda^*([\alpha, \beta]) = \lambda(] \alpha, \beta]) = \lambda([\alpha, \beta[) = \lambda(] \alpha, \beta[) = \beta - \alpha$.
 $\lambda^*(I) = \infty$ if I is an *unlimited interval*. (thm. 3.4 p. 20 \in [1])
- The *exterior Lebesgue measure* $\lambda_k^* : \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^k) \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ on \mathbb{R}^k $\stackrel{def}{=}$ $\lambda_k^*(A) = \inf\{\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} v(I_n) \mid A \subset \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} I_n, I_m \text{ open interval } \overset{C}{\subset} \mathbb{R}^k, \forall m \in \mathbb{N}\}$, where
 $v(I) = (\beta_1 - \alpha_1)(\beta_2 - \alpha_2) \cdots (\beta_k - \alpha_k)$ is the *volume* of I . (def. 3.5 p. 22 \in [1])

- λ_k^* is an *exterior measure* and:
 $\forall I \overset{C}{\text{interval}} \mathbb{R}^k : \lambda_k^*(I) = v(I)$. (thm. 3.7 p. 24 \in [1])

- The family Δ of all *subsets* of \mathbb{R}^k which can be written as a *finite union* of *disjoint intervals* of \mathbb{R}^k is an *algebra* on \mathbb{R}^k .

(lemma 3.6 (i) p. 22 \in [1])

- For *pairwise disjoint intervals* I_i in \mathbb{R}^k , $I = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} I_i$:
If J is an *interval* such that $I \subset J$ then: $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} v(I_i) \leq v(J)$.
If I is an *interval* then: $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} v(I_i) = v(I)$. (lemma 3.6 (ii) p. 22 \in [1])

- For *exterior measure* $\phi : \mathcal{P}(X) \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ on X :

– $B \subset X$ is ϕ -*measurable* $\stackrel{def}{=} \forall A \subset X : \phi(A) = \phi(A \cap B) + \phi(A \setminus B)$.

It is enough to show (because ϕ is an *exterior measure*):

$\forall A \subset X, \phi(A) < \infty : \phi(A) \geq \phi(A \cap B) + \phi(A \setminus B)$. (def. 3.8 p. 25 \in [1])

– The *family of ϕ -measurable sets* is symbolized by \mathcal{M}_ϕ .

(def 3.8 p. 25 \in [1])

– Every set $B \subset X$ with $\phi(B) = 0$ is ϕ -*measurable*. (p. 25 \in [1])

– *Καρθεοδωρή*: (thm. 3.9 p. 25 \in [1])

\mathcal{M}_ϕ is a σ -*algebra* on X and $\phi|_{\mathcal{M}_\phi}$ is a *complete measure*.

- $\mathcal{M}_{\lambda_k^*}$ is the *Lebesgue measurable sets*. (p. 26 \in [1])

- $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^k) \subset \mathcal{M}_{\lambda_k^*}$. (thm. 3.10 p. 26 \in [1])

- The *Lebesgue measure* λ_k $\stackrel{def}{=}$ (def. 3.11 p. 27-28 \in [1])
 $\lambda_k^*|_{\mathcal{M}_{\lambda_k^*}}$ or sometimes the more restricted $\lambda_k^*|_{\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^k)}$.

- For any $A \subset \mathbb{R}^k$: $\lambda_k^*(A) = \inf\{\lambda_k(B) \mid B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^k), B \supset A\} = \inf\{\lambda_k(U) \mid U \stackrel{\text{open}}{\subset} \mathbb{R}^k, U \supset A\}$. (thm. 3.12 p. 28 ∈ [1])
- For *measure space* (X, \mathcal{A}, μ) :
 - **The exterior measure μ^* w.r.t. μ** $\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \forall A \subset X : \mu^*(A) = \inf\{\mu(B) \mid B \in \mathcal{A} \wedge \overline{B} \supset A\}$. (def. 3.13 p. 28 ∈ [1])
 - **The interior measure μ_* w.r.t. μ** $\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \forall A \subset X : \mu_*(A) = \sup\{\mu(B) \mid B \in \mathcal{A} \wedge \overline{B} \subset A\}$. (def. 3.13 p. 28 ∈ [1])
 - $\forall A \subset X : \exists B \in \mathcal{A} : A \subset B \wedge \mu^*(A) = \mu(B)$. (thm. 3.14 (i) p. 29 ∈ [1])
 - μ^* is an *exterior measure* on X . (thm. 3.14 (ii) p. 29 ∈ [1])
 - $\mathcal{A}_\mu \subset \mathcal{M}_{\mu^*}$ and $\mu^*|_{\mathcal{A}_\mu} = \overline{\mu}$. (thm. 3.14 (iii) p. 29 ∈ [1])
 - If μ is σ -limited, then $\mathcal{A}_\mu = \mathcal{M}_{\mu^*}$ and $\mu^*|_{\mathcal{M}_{\mu^*}} = \overline{\mu}$. (thm. 3.14 (iv) p. 29 ∈ [1])
- If λ is the *Lebesgue measure* on $(\mathbb{R}^k, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^k))$ and $\lambda^* : \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^k) \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ with $\lambda^*(A)$ being the *exterior measure* of A w.r.t. λ , then λ^* *conincides* with the *exterior Lebesgue measure* on \mathbb{R}^k . (rem. 3.15 p. 30 ∈ [1])
- The *Lebesgue measure* on $(\mathbb{R}^k, \mathcal{M}_{\lambda^*})$ is the *completion* of the *Lebesgue measure* on $(\mathbb{R}^k, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^k))$. (thm. 3.16 p. 30 ∈ [1])
- For *measure space* (X, \mathcal{A}, μ) and $A \subset X$ with $\mu^*(A) < \infty$: $A \in \mathcal{A}_\mu \Leftrightarrow \mu_*(A) = \mu^*(A)$. (thm. 3.17 p. 30 ∈ [1])

3.1 Basic Properties of the Lebesgue Measure

- For *metric space* X and σ -algebra \mathcal{A} on X with $\mathcal{A} \supset \mathcal{B}(X)$ and μ *measure* on (X, \mathcal{A}) : μ is **normal / regular?** $\stackrel{def}{=} \langle \text{def. 4.1 p. 37} \in [1] \rangle$
 1. $\forall K \stackrel{\subset}{\text{compact}} X : \mu(K) < \infty$
 2. $\forall A \in \mathcal{A} : \mu(A) = \inf\{\mu(U) \mid U \stackrel{\subset}{\text{open}} X, U \supset A\}$
(*exterior normality / regularity?*)
 3. $\forall U \stackrel{\subset}{\text{open}} X : \mu(U) = \sup\{\mu(K) \mid K \stackrel{\subset}{\text{compact}} X, K \subset U\}$
(*interior normality / regularity?*)
- The *Lebesgue measure* λ_k in \mathbb{R}^k is *normal / regular?*, and
 $\forall A \in \mathcal{M}_{\lambda_k^*} : \lambda(A) = \sup\{\mu(K) \mid K \stackrel{\subset}{\text{compact}} X, K \subset A\}$ $\langle \text{thm. 4.2 p. 37} \in [1] \rangle$
- It can be proved that the *Lebesgue measure* in $(\mathbb{R}^k, \mathcal{M}_{\lambda^*})$ is the *completion* of the *Lebesgue measure* in $(\mathbb{R}^k, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^k))$ by showing that $\mathcal{M}_{\lambda_k^*} = \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^k)_\lambda$ using *thm. 2.10* and the *normality* of λ . $\langle \text{rem. 4.3 p. 38} \in [1] \rangle$
- For *metric space* X : *Every measure* in the *metric space* $(X, \mathcal{B}(X))$ is called **Borel measure (in X)** $\langle \text{notes from lessons} \in [] \rangle$
- The *Lebesgue measure* in $(\mathbb{R}^k, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^k))$ is the *unique Borel measure* in \mathbb{R}^k such that $\forall I \stackrel{\subset}{\text{interval}} \mathbb{R}^k : \lambda(I) = v(I)$.
 $\langle \text{thm. 4.4 p. 39} \in [1] \rangle$
- The *exterior Lebesgue measure* and the *Lebesgue measure* are *motion invariant* (see section 4.1 for notation and basic stuff):
 - $\forall A \subset \mathbb{R}^k, x \in \mathbb{R}^k : \lambda_k^*(A) = \lambda_k^*(A + x)$. $\langle \text{thm 4.6 (i) p. 39} \in [1] \rangle$
 - $\forall A \subset \mathbb{R}^k, x \in \mathbb{R}^k : A \in \mathcal{M}_{\lambda_k^*} \Leftrightarrow A + x \in \mathcal{M}_{\lambda_k^*}$. $\langle \text{thm 4.6 (ii } \alpha) \text{ p. 39} \in [1] \rangle$
 $\forall A \in \mathcal{M}_{\lambda_k^*} : \lambda_k(A) = \lambda_k(A + x)$. $\langle \text{thm 4.6 (ii } \beta) \text{ p. 39} \in [1] \rangle$
 - Also holds for the *Lebesgue measure* in $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^k)$: $\langle \text{rem. 4.7 p. 40} \in [1] \rangle$
 $\forall A \subset \mathbb{R}^k, x \in \mathbb{R}^k : A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^k) \Leftrightarrow A + x \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^k)$.
 $\forall A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^k) : \lambda_k(A) = \lambda_k(A + x)$.
- *Uniqueness* of the *Lebesgue measure* w.r.t. *motion invariance*:
If μ is a *Borel measure* in \mathbb{R}^k such that
 $\forall I \stackrel{\subset}{\text{interval}} \mathbb{R}^k : \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^k : \mu(I + x) = \mu(I)$ and such that
 $\forall K \stackrel{\subset}{\text{compact}} \mathbb{R}^k : \mu(K) < \infty$, then
 $\exists \alpha \in \mathbb{R}, \alpha \geq 0 : \mu = \alpha \cdot \lambda$, i.e.:
 $\forall A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^k) : \mu(A) = \alpha \cdot \lambda(A)$. $\langle \text{thm. 4.9 p. 41} \in [1] \rangle$
- $\forall A \in \mathcal{M}_{\lambda_k^*}, \lambda(A) > 0 : \exists \delta > 0 : S(0, \delta) \subset A - A$.
(*Steinhaus*) $\langle \text{thm. 4.10 p. 41} \in [1] \rangle$
- The *Cantor set* is *over countable* (so it has *cardinality* of the *continuum*) and has *Lebesgue measure* 0. $\langle \text{thm. 4.11 p. 42} \in [1] \rangle$
- Vitali's theorem: There *exists* a *subset* of \mathbb{R} , and hence also of $]0, 1[$, which is *not Lebesgue measurable*. (hence: $\mathcal{M}_{\lambda^*} \subsetneq \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R})$).
Also holds for \mathbb{R}^k . $\langle \text{thm. 4.12 p. 42} \in [1] \rangle$

- There *exists* a *Lebesgue measurable subset* of \mathbb{R} which is *not* a *Borel set*. (hence: $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}) \subsetneq \mathcal{M}_{\lambda^*}(\mathbb{R})$). Also holds for \mathbb{R}^k . (thm. 4.14 p. 43 ∈ [1])
- For (X, ρ) *metric space* and μ *limited Borel measure* in X :
 $\forall A \in \mathcal{B}(X)_\mu : \bar{\mu}(A) = \inf\{\mu(G) \mid G \stackrel{c}{\subset}_{open} X, A \subset G\} = \sup\{\mu(F) \mid F \stackrel{c}{\subset}_{closed} X, F \subset A\}$ (thm. 4.15 p. 44 ∈ [1])
- A *completely limited metric space* $(X, \rho) \stackrel{def}{=} \text{A metric space } (X, \rho) \text{ where } \forall \epsilon > 0 : \exists \{x_1, \dots, x_n\} \subset X : X = \bigcup_{i=1}^n S(x_i, \epsilon)$.
 Equivalently: X is a *finite union* of sets with *diameter* $< \epsilon$. (p. 45 ∈ [1])
- Any *complete* and *completely limited metric space* is *compact*. (lemma 4.16 p. 45 ∈ [1])
- A *Polish metric space* $(X, \rho) \stackrel{def}{=} \text{A metric space which is separable and which has an equivalent complete metric}$. (p. 45 ∈ [1])
- For *Polish metric space* X :
 Every *limited Borel measure* μ is *normal* / *regular*?. (thm. 4.17 p. 45 ∈ [1])

3.2 From Exercises

- For an $A \subset \mathbb{R}^k$, the *following are equivalent*: (5 ∈ [1])
 1. $A \in \mathcal{M}_{\lambda^*}$
 2. $A = B \cup C$ for some F_σ -set B and some set C with $\mu(C) = 0$
 3. There *exists* an F_σ -set D , such that $\lambda^*(A \Delta D) = 0$
- For $A \subset \mathbb{R}, \alpha \in \mathbb{R}, \delta > 0$:
 If $\forall t \in \mathbb{R}$ with $|t| < \delta : \alpha + t \in A$ or $\alpha - t \in A$, then $\lambda^*(A) \geq \delta$ (6 ∈ [1])
- If $A \subset \mathbb{R}$ and $\alpha \in]0, 1[$ such that $\forall I \stackrel{c}{\subset}_{interval} \mathbb{R} : \lambda^*(A \cap I) \leq \alpha \lambda(I)$, then $\lambda^*(A) = 0$ (7 ∈ [1])

3.3 Measurable Functions

- For *measurable space* (X, \mathcal{A}) : $f : X \rightarrow [-\infty, \infty]$ is **\mathcal{A} -measurable** $\stackrel{def}{=} \forall \beta \in \mathbb{R} : \text{the set } [f \leq \beta] \equiv \{x \in X \mid f(x) \leq \beta\} \equiv f^{-1}([\infty, \beta]) \text{ is measurable (i.e. it belongs to } \mathcal{A}\text{)}$. (def. 5.1 p. 51 ∈ [1])
- For *measurable space* (X, \mathcal{A}) and μ is a *measure* in (X, \mathcal{A}) :
 $f : X \rightarrow [-\infty, \infty]$ is **μ -measurable** $\stackrel{def}{=} f$ is \mathcal{A}_μ -measurable. (def. 5.1 p. 51 ∈ [1])
- For *measurable space* $(\mathbb{R}^k, \mathcal{A})$ and λ is the *Lebesgue measure* in $(\mathbb{R}^k, \mathcal{A})$:
 $f : \mathbb{R}^k \rightarrow [-\infty, \infty]$ is **Lebesgue measurable** $\stackrel{def}{=} f$ is λ -measurable. (def. 5.1 p. 51 ∈ [1])
- For *metric space* X :
 $f : X \rightarrow [-\infty, \infty]$ is **Borel measurable** $\stackrel{def}{=} f$ is $\mathcal{B}(X)$ -measurable. (def. 5.1 p. 51 ∈ [1])
- For *measurable space* (X, \mathcal{A}) and $f : X \rightarrow [-\infty, \infty]$,
the following are equivalent:
 1. f is \mathcal{A} -measurable
 2. $\forall \beta \in \mathbb{R} : [f \leq \beta] \equiv f^{-1}([-\infty, \beta]) \in \mathcal{A}$ (def. of measurable)
 3. $\forall \beta \in \mathbb{R} : [f < \beta] \equiv f^{-1}([-\infty, \beta[) \in \mathcal{A}$
 4. $\forall \beta \in \mathbb{R} : [f \geq \beta] \equiv f^{-1}([\beta, \infty]) \in \mathcal{A}$
 5. $\forall \beta \in \mathbb{R} : [f > \beta] \equiv f^{-1}(\beta, \infty) \in \mathcal{A}$(thm. 5.2 p. 51 ∈ [1])
- For *measurable space* (X, \mathcal{A}) and $B \subset X$:
 $B \in \mathcal{A} \Leftrightarrow \chi_B$ is \mathcal{A} -measurable. (rem. 5.3 (i) p. 52 ∈ [1])
 $(\chi_B \text{ is the indicator function of } B, \text{ see section 4}).$

Proof: $[\chi_B \leq \beta] = \begin{cases} \emptyset & , \beta < 0 \\ X \setminus B & , 0 \leq \beta < 1 \\ X & , 1 \leq \beta \end{cases}$
- Any *Borel-measurable function* $f : \mathbb{R}^k \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is also *Lebesgue measurable*.
Proof: $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^k) \subset \mathcal{M}_{\lambda_k^*}$. (rem. 5.3 (ii) p. 52 ∈ [1])
Warning: The *opposite* does *not hold*. E.g.: $A \in \mathcal{M}_{\lambda_k^*} \setminus \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^k)$ and $f = \chi_A$.
- Any *continuous function* $f : \mathbb{R}^k \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is *Borel-measurable*.
Proof: $\forall \beta \in \mathbb{R} : [f \leq \beta] \stackrel{C}{\subset} \mathbb{R}^k$, so $[f \leq \beta]$ is *Borel*. (rem. 5.3 (iii) p. 52 ∈ [1])
- For $I \stackrel{C}{\subset} \mathbb{R}$ and $f : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ *increasing*: f is *Borel measurable*.
Proof: $\forall \beta \in \mathbb{R} : \text{set } \alpha = \sup[f \leq \beta] \text{ and then}$
 $[f \leq \beta] = [-\infty, \alpha[\cap I \text{ or } [f \leq \beta] = [-\infty, \alpha] \cap I, \text{ so } [f \leq \beta] \text{ is Borel.}$
(rem. 5.3 (iv) p. 51 ∈ [1])

- For *measurable space* (X, \mathcal{A}) and $C \subset X$:
 - **The trace \mathcal{A}_C of \mathcal{A} in C** $\stackrel{def}{=} \{A \cap C \mid A \in \mathcal{A}\}$. (def. 5.4 p. 52 ∈ [1])
 - \mathcal{A}_C is a σ -algebra in C . (def. 5.4 p. 52 ∈ [1])
 - $f : C \rightarrow [-\infty, \infty]$ is **measurable** $\stackrel{def}{=} f$ is \mathcal{A}_C -measurable (i.e.: $\forall \beta \in \mathbb{R} : [f \leq \beta] \in \mathcal{A}_C$). (def. 5.4 p. 52 ∈ [1])
 - If $C \in \mathcal{A}$ then $\mathcal{A}_C = \{B \in \mathcal{A} \mid B \subset C\}$, so f is measurable $\Leftrightarrow \forall \beta \in \mathbb{R} : [f \leq \beta] \in \mathcal{A}$. (def. 5.4 p. 52 ∈ [1])
- For (X, \mathcal{A}) *measurable space* and $f : X \rightarrow [-\infty, \infty]$:
 - f measurable and $C \subset X \Rightarrow f|_C$ measurable. (thm. 5.5 (i) p. 53 ∈ [1])
 - For $\{C_n\}$ sequence in \mathcal{A} and $\cup_{n=1}^{\infty} C_n = X$: f measurable $\Leftrightarrow \forall n \in \mathbb{N} : f|_{C_n}$ measurable. (thm. 5.5 (ii) p. 53 ∈ [1])
- For *metric space* X and $Y \stackrel{C}{\text{subspace}} X$:
 - $\mathcal{B}(X)_Y = \mathcal{B}(Y)$. (thm. 5.6 (i) p. 53 ∈ [1])
I.e.: The trace of $\mathcal{B}(X)$ in Y equals the σ -algebra of the Borel set $\mathcal{B}(Y)$.
 - $f : X \rightarrow [-\infty, \infty]$ is *Borel measurable* $\Rightarrow f|_Y : Y \rightarrow [-\infty, \infty]$ *Borel measurable*. (thm. 5.6 (ii) p. 53 ∈ [1])
- For *measurable space* (X, \mathcal{A}) :
 - For function $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ the following are equivalent: (thm. 5.7 p. 53 ∈ [1])
 1. f is measurable.
 2. $\forall G \stackrel{C}{\text{open}} \mathbb{R} : [f \in G] = f^{-1}(G) \in \mathcal{A}$.
 3. $\forall F \stackrel{C}{\text{closed}} \mathbb{R} : [f \in F] = f^{-1}(F) \in \mathcal{A}$.
 4. $\forall B \in \mathcal{B}(X) : [f \in B] = f^{-1}(B) \in \mathcal{A}$.
 - For *measurable functions* $f, g : X \rightarrow [-\infty, \infty]$ the following holds:
 1. $[f < g] = \{x \in X \mid f(x) < g(x)\} \in \mathcal{A}$. (thm. 5.8 p. 54 ∈ [1])
 2. $[f \leq g] = \{x \in X \mid f(x) \leq g(x)\} \in \mathcal{A}$. (thm. 5.8 p. 54 ∈ [1])
 3. $[f = g] = \{x \in X \mid f(x) = g(x)\} \in \mathcal{A}$. (thm. 5.8 p. 54 ∈ [1])
 4. $f \wedge g$ is measurable where $\forall x \in X : (f \wedge g)(x) = \min\{f(x), g(x)\}$. (thm. 5.9 (i) p. 54 ∈ [1])
 5. $f \vee g$ is measurable where $\forall x \in X : (f \vee g)(x) = \max\{f(x), g(x)\}$. (thm. 5.9 (i) p. 54 ∈ [1])
 6. $f^+ = f \vee 0$ is measurable. (thm. 5.9 (ii) p. 54 ∈ [1])
 7. $f^- = (-f) \vee 0$ is measurable. (thm. 5.9 (ii) p. 54 ∈ [1])
 - For $f_n : X \rightarrow [-\infty, \infty]$ and $\{f_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ a sequence of measurable functions:
 1. $\sup_n f_n$ is measurable. (thm. 5.10 (i) p. 55 ∈ [1])
 2. $\inf_n f_n$ is measurable. (thm. 5.10 (i) p. 55 ∈ [1])
 3. $\limsup_n f_n$ is measurable. (thm. 5.10 (ii) p. 55 ∈ [1])
 4. $\liminf_n f_n$ is measurable. (thm. 5.10 (ii) p. 55 ∈ [1])
 5. $\lim_n f_n$ is measurable if it exists. (thm. 5.10 (iii) p. 55 ∈ [1])
I.e.: If $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n$ converges to a point $f = \limsup_n f_n = \liminf_n f_n$.

- For *measurable space* (X, \mathcal{A}) :
 - If X is a *metric space* and $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$:
 1. f is **Baire-1** $\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \langle \text{def. 5.11 p. 55} \in [1] \rangle$
It is *point of convergence* of a *sequence* of *continuous functions*.
 2. f is **Baire-2** $\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \langle \text{def. 5.11 p. 55} \in [1] \rangle$
It is *point of convergence* of a *sequence* of *Baire-1 functions*.
 3. *Baire-1* and *Baire-2* functions are *measurable*.
Proof: Thm. 5.3 (iii) and thm. 5.10.
 4. It is known from real analysis that:
 $f = \chi_{\mathbb{Q}}$ is *Baire-2* but *not Baire-1*.
 5. The *first derivative* f' of a *differentiable function* f is *Baire-1*.
 $\langle \text{def. 5.11 p. 55, exc. 5-10} \in [1] \rangle$
 - For *measurable functions* $f, g : X \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}, \alpha \geq 0$ the following holds:
 1. $\alpha \cdot f$ is *measurable*. $\langle \text{thm. 5.12 (i) p. 55} \in [1] \rangle$
 2. $f + g$ is *measurable*. $\langle \text{thm. 5.12 (ii) p. 55} \in [1] \rangle$
 - For *measurable functions* $f, g : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ the following holds:
 1. $\alpha \cdot f$ is *measurable*. $\langle \text{thm. 5.13 (i) p. 56} \in [1] \rangle$
 2. $f + g$ and $f - g$ are *measurable*. $\langle \text{thm. 5.13 (ii) p. 56} \in [1] \rangle$
 3. $f \cdot g$ is *measurable*. $\langle \text{thm. 5.13 (iii) p. 56} \in [1] \rangle$
 4. $h(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{f(x)}{g(x)} & , g(x) \neq 0 \\ 0 & , g(x) = 0 \end{cases}$ is *measurable*. $\langle \text{thm. 5.13 (iv) p. 56} \in [1] \rangle$
 5. $|f|$ is *measurable*. $\langle \text{thm. 5.13 (v) p. 56} \in [1] \rangle$
 - $s : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is **simple** $\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \langle \text{def. 5.14 p. 57} \in [1] \rangle$
The set $s(X)$ of values of s is *finite*. $\langle \text{def. 5.14 p. 57} \in [1] \rangle$
 - For $s : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ *simple*: $\langle \text{def. 5.14 p. 57} \in [1] \rangle$
There *exists* a *unique finite measurable partition* $\{A_1, \dots, A_n\}$ of X with $A_i \neq \emptyset$ (i.e.: A_i *pairwise disjoint* and $X = \bigcup A_i$) and *unique* $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n \in \mathbb{R}$ with $\forall i \neq j : \alpha_i \neq \alpha_j$ such that:
 $s = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i \chi_{A_i}$. **This is called the *normal form* of s .**
It is found by setting $\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n\} = s(X)$ where $\forall i \neq j : \alpha_i \neq \alpha_j$ and setting $\forall i \in \{1, \dots, n\} : A_i = s^{-1}(\{\alpha_i\})$
 - For *measurable function* $f : X \rightarrow [0, +\infty]$:
There *exists* an *increasing sequence* of functions $\{s_n\}$
(i.e.: $\forall x \in X : \forall n \in \mathbb{N} : s_n(x) \leq s_{n+1}(x)$) such that
 $f = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} s_n$ and $\forall n \in \mathbb{N} : s_n : X \rightarrow [0, \infty[$ is *simple*.
If f is *limited*, then $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} s_n$ *converges uniformly*. $\langle \text{thm. 5.15 p. 57} \in [1] \rangle$
 - For *measurable function* $f : X \rightarrow [-\infty, +\infty]$:
There *exists* a *sequence* of *simple* functions $\{s_n\}$ such that
 $f = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} s_n$ and $\{|s_n|\}$ is *increasing*.
If f is *limited*, then $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} s_n$ *converges uniformly*. $\langle \text{thm. 5.16 p. 58} \in [1] \rangle$

- For *measurable space* (X, \mathcal{A}) :
 - $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is \mathcal{A} -*measurable* a.k.a. *measurable (w.r.t. \mathcal{A})* $\stackrel{def}{=}$
 $\forall B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{C}) : f^{-1}(B) \in \mathcal{A}$. (def. 5.17 p. 59 ∈ [1])
 - For a *measure* μ in (X, \mathcal{A}) :
 $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is μ -*measurable* $\stackrel{def}{=}$
 f is \mathcal{A}_μ -*measurable*. (def. 5.17 p. 59 ∈ [1])
 - $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is *Borel measurable* $\stackrel{def}{=}$
 f is $\mathcal{B}(X)$ -*measurable*. (def. 5.17 p. 59 ∈ [1])
 - For $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, the *following are equivalent*: (p. 59 ∈ [1])
 1. f is \mathcal{A} -*measurable*
 2. $\forall G \stackrel{C}{\text{open}} \mathbb{R} : f^{-1}(G) \in \mathcal{A}$.
 3. $\forall F \stackrel{C}{\text{closed}} \mathbb{R} : f^{-1}(F) \in \mathcal{A}$.
 - For $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, $f = u + iv$ where
 $u, v : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are the *real* and *imaginary* parts of f :
 1. f *measurable* $\Leftrightarrow u, v$ *measurable*. (thm. 5.18 (i) p. 59 ∈ [1])
 Equivalently: u^+, u^-, v^+ and v^- are *measurable*.
 2. f *measurable* \Rightarrow there *exists* $\alpha : X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ *measurable* such that:
 $\forall x \in X : |\alpha(x)| = 1$ and $f = \alpha \cdot |f|$. (thm. 5.18 (ii) p. 59 ∈ [1])
 - For a *sequence* $\{f_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of *measurable* functions $f_n : X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$:
 $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n = f \Rightarrow f$ *measurable*. (thm. 5.19 p. 60 ∈ [1])
 - For $f, g : X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ *measurable* and $c \in \mathbb{C}$ we have: (thm. 5.20 p. 60 ∈ [1])
 1. $c \cdot f, f + g, f \cdot g$ and $|f|$ are *measurable*.
 2. $\frac{f}{g}$ is *measurable* if $\forall x \in X : g(x) \neq 0$.
 - A *measurable* function $s : X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is *simple* $\stackrel{def}{=}$
 $s(X)$ is *finite*. (def. 5.21 p. 60 ∈ [1])
 - $s : X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is *simple* $\Leftrightarrow \text{Re } s$ and $\text{Im } s$ are *simple*. (def. 5.21 p. 60 ∈ [1])
 - For $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ *measurable*:
 There *exists* a *sequence* $\{s_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of *simple* functions
 $s_n : X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ such that $f = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} s_n$.
 And: f *limited* $\Rightarrow \{s_n\}$ *converges uniformly*. (thm. 5.22 p. 61 ∈ [1])

- For *measure space* (X, \mathcal{A}, μ) :
 - For *simple function* $f : X \rightarrow [0, \infty[$ with *normal form* $f = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i \chi_{A_i}$:
The Lebesgue integral $\int f d\mu$ of f (w.r.t. μ) $\stackrel{def}{=} \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i \mu(A_i)$.
 If the limit $0 \cdot (+\infty)$ appears we define $0 \cdot (+\infty) = 0$.
 Clearly $\int f d\mu \in [0, \infty]$. (def. 6.1 p. 65 ∈ [1])
 - For *simple function* $f : X \rightarrow [0, \infty[$ and $B_1, \dots, B_n \in \mathcal{A}$ *pairwise disjoint* such that:
 $f = \sum_{j=1}^n B_j \chi_{B_j}$, then:
 $\sum_{j=1}^n B_j \mu(B_j)$. (lemma. 6.2 p. 65 ∈ [1])
 - For $f, g \geq 0$ *simple functions* and $\alpha \geq 0$:
 - * $\int \alpha f d\mu = \alpha \int f d\mu$. (thm. 6.3 (i) p. 66 ∈ [1])
 - * $\int (f + g) d\mu = \int f d\mu + \int g d\mu$. (thm. 6.3 (ii) p. 66 ∈ [1])
 - * $f \leq g \Rightarrow \int f d\mu \leq \int g d\mu$. (thm. 6.3 (iii) p. 66 ∈ [1])
- For *measurable function* $f : X \rightarrow [0, \infty]$: (def. 6.4 p. 67 ∈ [1])
The Lebesgue integral $\int f d\mu$ of f (w.r.t. μ) $\stackrel{def}{=} \int f d\mu = \sup\{\int s d\mu \mid s \text{ simple, } 0 \leq s \leq f\}$.
 If $A \in \mathcal{A}$, **the Lebesgue integral $\int_A f d\mu$ of f (w.r.t. μ)** $\stackrel{def}{=} \int_A f d\mu = \int f \chi_A d\mu$. Clearly: $\int_A f d\mu \in [0, \infty]$.
 - $\int f d\mu = \int_X f d\mu$. (rem. 6.5 p. 67 ∈ [1])
 - $\forall A \in \mathcal{A} : \int_A f d\mu = \int f|_A d\mu|_A$, where $\mu|_A$ is μ *restricted to the trace \mathcal{A}_A of \mathcal{A} in A* . (rem. 6.5 p. 67 ∈ [1])
 - For $f, g : X \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ *measurable functions*, $\alpha \geq 0$ and $A, B \in \mathcal{A}$:
 - $\int \alpha f d\mu = \alpha \int f d\mu$. (thm. 6.6 (i) p. 67 ∈ [1])
 - $f \leq g \Rightarrow \int f d\mu \leq \int g d\mu$. (thm. 6.6 (ii) p. 67 ∈ [1])
 - $A \subseteq B \Rightarrow \int_A f d\mu \leq \int_B f d\mu$. (thm. 6.6 (iii) p. 67 ∈ [1])
 - If $\mu(A) = 0$ or $f = 0$ in A , then $\int_A f d\mu = 0$. (thm. 6.6 (iv) p. 67 ∈ [1])
 - For *simple function* $s : X \rightarrow [0, \infty[$: (lemma 6.7. p. 68 ∈ [1])
 If we set $v : A \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ to $v(A) = \int_A s d\mu$, then v is a *measure*.
 - **Monotonic Convergence of Lebesgue:** (thm. 6.8 p. 69 ∈ [1])
 For $f_n : X \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ *increasing sequence of measurable functions* (i.e. $f_1 \leq f_2 \leq \dots$):
 If we set $f = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n$, then
 $\int f d\mu = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int f_n d\mu$.

3.4 More...

- Theorem 15 is generalized as follows:
If $f, g \in \mathcal{L}'_{\mathbb{R}}(\mu)$ and $f \leq g$ μ -almost everywhere, then
 $\int f d\mu \leq \int g d\mu$ (rem. 1 \in [1])
- In the theorem K. Σ ., the requirement? that $|f_n| \leq g$ can be replaced by
 $|f_n| \leq \mu$ -almost everywhere (rem. 2 \in [1])
- Also the requirement? that $\{f_n\}$ converges towards f can be replaced by
? > ? μ -almost everywhere (rem. 2 \in [1])
- For *measure space* (X, \mathcal{A}, μ) and $f_n : X \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ for $n = 1, 2, \dots$ is a
decreasing sequence of measurable functions where $\int f_1 d\mu < \infty$, then:
 $\int \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n d\mu = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int f_n d\mu < \infty$. (exc. 6 \in [1])
- Generalization of Fatou's theorem: For *measure space* (X, \mathcal{A}, μ) and $h : X \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ measurable with $\int h d\mu < \infty$ and $f_n : X \rightarrow [-\infty, \infty]$ for $n = 1, 2, \dots$ is a *sequence of measurable functions* where $\forall n \in \mathbb{N} : f_n \geq -h$:
 $\int \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \inf f_n d\mu \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int f_n d\mu$ (exc. 7 \in [1])

3.5 Convergence of the Riemann Integral towards the Lebesgue Integral

- $f : [\alpha, \beta] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is **Riemann integrable** $\stackrel{def}{=}$ the limits of the upper sum and lower sum are equal, written: $\int_{\alpha}^{\beta} f$ or $\int_{\alpha}^{\beta} f(x)dx$
- Riemann criterion: $f : [\alpha, \beta] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is Riemann integrable $\Leftrightarrow \forall \epsilon > 0 : \exists$ partition ρ of $[\alpha, \beta] : U(f, \rho) - L(f, \rho) < \epsilon$
- From real analysis about Riemann integrability:
For metric spaces X, Y , $f : X \rightarrow Y$, $x \in X$:
 f continuous at $x \Leftrightarrow \mathcal{T}_{f(x)} \equiv \inf\{\text{partition } f(U) \mid U \text{ neighborhood of } x\} = 0$
- For $f : [\alpha, \beta] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ discontinuous we have:
 - f is Riemann integrable \Leftrightarrow the set $A(f) = \{x \in [\alpha, \beta] \mid f \text{ is not continuous at } x\}$ has Lebesgue measure 0. (i.e.: f is λ -almost everywhere continuous)
 - If f is Riemann integrable, then f is Lebesgue integrable (w.r.t. λ) and $\int_{\alpha}^{\beta} f = \int_{[\alpha, \beta]} f d\lambda$
 - $A(f) = [\mathcal{T}_f > 0] = \cup_{n=1}^{\infty} [\mathcal{T}_f \geq \frac{1}{n}] = f_{\sigma}$ closed

3.6 Results from Exercises

- For measurable space (X, \mathcal{A}) : (exc. 5 \in [1])
If $\{\mu_n\}$ is a growing sequence of measure functions in (X, \mathcal{A}) (i.e.: $\forall A \in \mathcal{A} : \forall n \in \mathbb{N} : \mu_n(A) \leq \mu_{n+1}(A)$), then $\forall A \in \mathcal{A} : \mu(A) = \lim_n \mu_n(A) : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ is a measure.

4 Misc Notation

- For a *sequence of subsets* $\{A_n\}$ of a set X : (p. 39 ∈ [1])
 - A_n **growing / increasing sequence** $\stackrel{def}{=} \forall n : A_n \subset A_{n+1}$
 - A_n **falling / decreasing sequence** $\stackrel{def}{=} \forall n : A_n \supset A_{n+1}$
- *Indicator function* of B : $\chi_B(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & , \text{ for } x \in B \\ 0 & , \text{ for } x \notin B \end{cases}$
- For *partition* $\Delta = \{\alpha = t_0 < t_1 < \dots < t_n = \beta\}$ of $[\alpha, \beta]$:
the *granularity* of Δ $\stackrel{def}{=} \|\Delta\| = \max_{i \in \{1, \dots, n\}} t_i - t_{i-1}$
- For *partitions* Δ_1, Δ_2 of $[\alpha, \beta]$: Δ_2 is **finer** than Δ_1 $\stackrel{def}{=} \Delta_1 \subset \Delta_2$ (also written $\Delta_1 \prec \Delta_2$)

4.1 Adding And Subtracting Sets, Motions etc.

- For $A, B \subset \mathbb{R}^k$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^k$ we define: (def. 4.5 p. 39 ∈ [1])
 - $A \pm B = \{x \pm y \mid x \in A, y \in B\}$
 - $A \pm x = A \pm \{x\}$
- For *any* $\{A_n\}$ *sequence of subsets* of \mathbb{R}^k and $A, B \subset \mathbb{R}^k$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}^k$:
(p. 39 ∈ [1])
 - $(\cup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n) \pm x = \cup_{n=1}^{\infty} (A_n \pm x)$
 - $(\cap_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n) \pm x = \cap_{n=1}^{\infty} (A_n \pm x)$
 - $(A \setminus B) \pm x = (A \pm x) \setminus (B \pm x)$
- **Motion with** x : $T_x : \mathbb{R}^k \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^k$ with $\forall y \in \mathbb{R}^k : T_{\pm x}(y) = y \pm x$.
 $T_x(A) = A + x$ and $T_{-x}(A) = A - x$. (def. 4.5 p. 39 ∈ [1])
 - T_x is a *homeomorphism*, i.e.:
 $\forall A \subset \mathbb{R}^k : A \text{ open} \Leftrightarrow A + x \text{ open}$.
 - T_x is *bijective* and using previous identities we also get:
 $\forall A \subset \mathbb{R}^k : A \in \mathbb{B}(\mathbb{R}^k) \Leftrightarrow A + x \in \mathbb{B}(\mathbb{R}^k)$

References

- [1] Γ. Κουμουλλής, Σ. Νεγρεπόντης. *Θεωρία Μέτρου, Εκδόσεις Συμμετρία Αθήνα* 1991.